



The

Phyllis Schlafly Report



VOL. 5, NO. 4

Box 618, ALTON, ILLINOIS 62002

NOVEMBER, 1971

Time Is Running Out -- A Call For Action

"Hell-Bent On National Suicide" was the title of the July *Phyllis Schlafly Report*. It consisted of warnings about the Soviet missile threat from four important experts. The response from readers was greater than for any other issue in the five years of *The Phyllis Schlafly Report*.

Since that issue was written, a great quantity of new evidence has come out about increases in the already-superior Soviet nuclear force. Every week brings additional authoritative warnings of the danger to American lives and freedom. Everyone is asking the question, "Why is President Nixon going to Russia?"

Readers seem to recognize that "hell-bent on national suicide" is a good description of U. S. policies today.

"Hell-bent on national suicide" describes our financial policy -- a shocking decline in the value of our money, Keynesian inflation and deficit spending.

"Hell-bent on national suicide" describes our China policy -- by which the United States has now betrayed a faithful ally, the Republic of China, a treachery which ranks with the Roosevelt-Hiss sellouts of Teheran and Yalta. No wonder the "uncommitted" and "neutral" nations, and even our "friends", are falling all over themselves to make their deals with Russia or Red China. All the world now knows that it isn't safe to be a friend of the United States.

"Hell-bent on national suicide" describes our foreign giveaway policy, by which we are spending \$13 billion this year on various foreign aid projects (despite the Senate vote killing one foreign aid bill). The White House even lobbied changes in the Export-Import Bank Act through Congress on August 5 so as to make Red China and other Communist countries eligible for non-collectible loans of U. S. tax dollars.

"Hell-bent on national suicide" also describes our incredible busing policy under which communities all across the country are racked with dissension because of the April 20 busing decision written by Chief Justice Burger.

"Hell-bent on national suicide" is the most accurate description of our present defense policy. Far more important than the Nixon wage-price freeze is the Nixon strategic-weapons freeze. Originally the policy of Secretary of Defense Robert McNamara, this weapons freeze has been continued by the Nixon

Administration even in the face of the fast-growing Soviet missile threat.

Everywhere, patriots are saying, "What can I do?"

Back in 1964, Mrs. Edgar Eisenhower met me at the Seattle airport and spoke words I have always treasured. Referring to my book, *A Choice Not An Echo*, she said: "You gave us a tool when we needed it most."

Realizing that we need another tool today, I have prepared one which will awaken even the most apathetic American to the ominous and imminent threat to the survival of our country. It is a packet called:

"Are We Hell-Bent On National Suicide?" "Let's Look At The Evidence"

It consists of a 40-page speech (double-spaced for easy reading) plus 27 important and hard-to-get supporting documents.

The subscribers to *The Phyllis Schlafly Report* are all "willing people." Some are willing to work -- and some are willing to listen. Which group do you belong to?

If you are willing to work, here is my recommendation for the most effective thing you can do during the next few months. Buy this packet and deliver this speech yourself to as many people and groups as will listen.

I can hear you disclaiming, "Who, me?" My answer is, Yes, you. This speech is designed to be given by you before any group in your community. You do not have to be an authority on military affairs because the speech is completely documented, and your audience can examine the documents. Your audience is not asked to believe anything because you say it, but because you present the evidence from authentic experts.

Our aim is to have 1,000 patriots giving this speech within the next few months. If each one gives it to audiences totaling 1,000 people, we can reach a million Americans with this vital message. Will you help?

After you have studied this packet, you will know why President Nixon is going to Moscow instead of Brezhnev coming to Washington. The answer is not pleasant to contemplate.

WHAT'S IN THE PACKET?

"Are We Hell-Bent On National Suicide? -- Let's Look At The Evidence" is a packet which presents the warnings given to America by eight different types of men. They are completely different in background, training, occupation, and associates. Each one in his own field is an authentic expert with the highest credentials. Any one of these warnings should be enough to arouse America from its apathy and move us into gear to take the precautions necessary to defend ourselves. Taken together, they constitute such overwhelming corroborative evidence of danger as to make everyone who loves his family and his country realize that we are talking about the very survival of America. Here are the eight warnings:

1. *Warning from the Businessmen.* This warning called the Blue Ribbon Supplemental Statement was written by President Nixon's own appointees: some of the most distinguished businessmen in America, including his appointee to the Supreme Court, Lewis Powell, Jr. This report warns that "the world order of the future will bear a Soviet trademark, with all peoples upon whom it is imprinted suffering Communist repressions."

Why did the White House suppress this report for six months, from September 1970 to March 12, 1971? Why did the White House then totally ignore this report, and refuse to refer to it or to answer it? Was it too true to acknowledge?

2. *Warning from the Scientists.* This warning was signed by some of the most eminent scientists in the country, including Dr. Edward Teller, developer of the H-bomb, Dr. William J. Thaler, developer of over-the-horizon radar, and two Nobel prize winners, Dr. Willard F. Libby and Dr. Eugene P. Wigner. They told how the Soviets have a clear lead in space orbital weapons -- and that we are in "a fight the Soviets intend to win."

3. *Warning from the Military.* A most prophetic warning of several years ago was prepared by a distinguished committee of military men headed by General Bernard Schriever, who was in charge of our Minuteman missile development, the backbone of our defense system.

An up-to-date warning was given by the present Commander-in-Chief of our Strategic Air Command who said: "The actual physical threat to the existence of the United States is greater now, in the 1970s, than at any time in our history since the 1770s. . . . The U.S.S.R. exceeds us in every major offensive and defensive strategic weapon system, except missile submarines."

4. *Warning from the Scholars.* Many scholars from all over the world have warned of the imminent military threat to America. They range from the London Institute of Strategic Studies which reported in September that the Soviets have 50% more ICBMs than the U. S., to the American Security Council which reported that the Soviets have a 5-to-1 superiority over the U. S. in nuclear striking power, to Dr. Stefan Possony of the Hoover Institution at Stanford University who pointed out in a recent interview that "the Republican Administration has resigned itself to accept *decisive* Soviet superiority."

The most revealing statements have been made by the number-one scholar in this field, Dr. John S. Foster, Jr., Director of Defense Department Research. He said that the Soviet fleet of Polaris-type submarines is roughly equal to the U. S. force, and that the Russians are building expensive new underground ICBM silos at a rate of about 150 per year, even though they already have 1,600 to our 1,054.

5. *Warning from the Newspapermen.* One important warning is from the former military affairs editor of *The New York Times* who recently wrote: "For the United States . . . the 11th hour is here."

Another dramatic warning is from a distinguished syndicated columnist and former U. S. Ambassador to Switzerland: "Our distracted country now stands at the absolute mercy of the U.S.S.R. -- on land, on sea and in the air. And unless we face up to this overwhelming fact, God help the United States. . . . One day this truth must burst on our distracted people and we can only fall to our knees, powerless and imploring."

6. *Warning from the Congressmen.* Some of the most important warnings about the danger to our survival are from Senator Barry Goldwater, from seven other Senators who spoke in the Senate on July 29, from 89 Congressmen who spoke together in the House on August 4, and from the last testament left by the House Armed Services Committee Chairman who said we are "on the brink of disaster" and that "the future of this Nation hangs by a thread." The prestigious Joint Congressional Committee on Atomic Energy stated bluntly that, unless we immediately build a nuclear navy to counter the rapidly expanding Soviet naval threat, "there may be no future."

7. *Warning from Soviet Spies.* The trial record of the famous Soviet spy cell which stole the secrets of our Polaris submarines from the Portland, England naval base constitutes a very unusual warning. This is what started the Soviets in building their Polaris-type fleet which now "roughly equals" our own.

8. *Warning from Kremlin Documents.* One of the most important warnings is from the actual Kremlin documents which spell out the secret Soviet war plans. They were given to us by the most important defector in the 50-year history of the Soviet Union. Strangely, these documents are still kept secret from the American people, although they have been known to the Soviets for at least ten years. These documents define the Soviet military strategy for which they have built the military hardware we know they now possess.

After detailing these warnings from experts of indisputable authority and reputation, the speech packet sets forth the promises made by Richard Nixon and by the Republican Platform in 1968. Then it proceeds to an examination of campaign material issued by the White House within the last year, and provides documentary proof on a Defense Department letterhead of the repudiation of the solemn promises made in 1968. This packet concludes with a statement of what our country needs to survive -- and what you can do to help. This unique collection of documents cannot be obtained from any other source.

What Republicans Are Saying About Nixon

A Letter

August 6, 1971

Jeremiah Milbank, Jr., Chairman
Republican National Finance Committee
1625 Eye Street, N.W.
Washington, D. C. 20006
Dear Mr. Milbank:

Replying to your latest request for a contribution to the Republican Party, I have just finished reading the Supplemental Statement submitted to President Nixon by George Champion and the six other members of The Blue Ribbon Defense Panel. I served on the Republican National Finance Committee from 1964 to 1969 because I was concerned about the future of our country. I believe you are concerned also. That is why I am writing, in the hope that you and others will take some action to persuade the Administration to change the policies which led the Blue Ribbon Panel to write this alarming report.

First let me say that I publicly supported Richard Nixon for the nominee of our Party in 1968. In addition to serving on the National Republican Finance Committee, I also served as Missouri Republican Finance Chairman from 1966 to 1969. I declared my support for Nixon early in 1968 and not only contributed financially to his campaign, but also worked closely with the Nixon Missouri Chairman to help elect Nixon delegates. My support for Nixon was based on my confidence that he had the experience, ability and knowledge of our enemies (Russia and China) to do everything in his power to reverse the military deterioration and loss of respect in the world caused by the disastrous policies of previous Democrat administrations. To say I have been disappointed is a vast understatement.

The report signed by George Champion and the other panel members brings out a number of very important points. Three are especially significant.

(1) "that the first duty of the national government is to provide for the common defense."

(2) "The road to peace has never been through appeasement, unilateral disarmament or negotiation from weakness. The entire recorded history of mankind is precisely to the contrary. Among the great nations only the strong survive. Weakness of the U. S. — of its military capability and will — could be the gravest threat to the peace of the world."

(3) "It is not too much to say that in the 70's neither the vital interests of the U. S., nor the lives and freedom of its citizens will be secure."

These are strong and shocking words written by distinguished Americans and should be viewed with alarm by all patriotic citizens. This report only confirmed what I knew previously from information furnished from other sources, but principally from the American Security Council, of which I am a member. It was the main reason I supported Richard Nixon and I can only conclude now that Richard Nixon, as commander-in-chief of our armed forces, is failing in his first duty — to provide the leadership necessary in order "to provide for the common defense." . . .

The Blue Ribbon report is a serious indictment of the present Republican administration (and all Republicans for allowing it to happen) because the President, instead of exerting the full power of the White House to narrow the military gap with Russia, has instead, allowed a wider gap to occur. Richard Nixon has not alerted the American people to the danger we face.

The President, I am sure, sincerely wants peace, but a visit to the enemy (who supplies weapons to kill American men and whose announced aim is to destroy us) will instead bring more war and suffering for our friends, and eventually for ourselves. (Chamberlain tried the same appeasement tactics with Hitler.) The China trip will only confirm to the world that Mao was indeed right in calling us a "paper tiger". There is only one thing

the world respects: Superior strength and the will to use it. . . .

Leadership demands toughness and the commander-in-chief of our armed forces must be super-tough today to make those hard decisions necessary for survival — and I am talking about survival with freedom. The American people have made many sacrifices in the past to preserve freedom and will do so again unless betrayed by inept leadership.

Let me make one point perfectly clear. I am opposed to a number of other actions (such as FAP), appointments and policies of the Nixon administration which have narrowed the gap between this administration and previous Democrat administrations, but these fade into insignificance when compared to permitting our nation to become a second-rate power.

The Nixon-Red China "normalization" is a blueprint for complete and total disaster for our friends and for ourselves. . . . If Hubert Humphrey was in the White House, Republican leaders would be bitterly condemning him for the very actions which have been and are being pursued by a Republican President.

Each of us who helped to finance the 1968 campaign of the Republican Party must decide what our course will be in 1972. In my opinion, the main issue (and it could be the only issue) in the '72 election will be national security or, if you will, "Peace Through Strength"! If I am correct, then based on his actions or inactions to date, Richard M. Nixon will not be re-elected. It's a sad time for the Republican Party and our country. With a leader in the White House who had the courage to follow a "Peace Through Strength" course, not only would there be rebuilt a strong and secure nation, but it would follow that the Party that provided this leadership would also be made strong by a grateful people.

Speaking for myself, concern for the future freedom of my family and my country will dictate what actions I will take in the '72 election. Regretfully, as of now, I could not again support Richard M. Nixon.

Sincerely,
Lawrence J. Meisel
48 Frontenac Estates
St. Louis, Missouri 63131

A Resolution

Whereas: President Nixon incredibly has given "aid and comfort to the enemy" by his overtures to Communist China, by opening trade, and by seeking Red China's admission to the U.N. and even to the Security Council; and

Whereas: President Nixon has continued the suicidal disarmament of the United States, thereby allowing Communist Russia to achieve armed superiority for her avowed purpose of world conquest; and

Whereas: President Nixon has called for ratification of the U.N. Genocide Treaty which will subject American citizens to extradition and trial by a world court for so-called genocide, denying them the protection of the U. S. Constitution and the right of appeal; and

Whereas: President Nixon has renounced the American free enterprise system by declaring himself a follower of Keynesian economics, a Fabian Socialist political conspiracy for a closed economy and government control of the people; and . . .

Whereas: President Nixon advocates the "guaranteed annual income" furthering the Welfare State, and . . .

Whereas: President Nixon has destroyed America's credibility by deserting our loyal friend and ally, Free China; . . .

Therefore be it resolved: That the La Mesa Republican Women's Club, Federated, in keeping with traditional Republican principles, has no choice but to seek a Presidential candidate for the Republican National Convention in San Diego, California in 1972, who can be trusted to make an honest attempt to stop the leftwing betrayal and restore our nation to a Constitutional Republic.

What Republicans Are Saying About Nixon

A Resolution Passed By The California Republican Assembly:

The President for 1972

We, the Directors of the California Republican Assembly (CRA), feel that it is vital to the survival of our Nation, that a Republican be elected as President of the United States in 1972.

We feel that it is equally vital that this Republican be one who is dedicated and committed to the principles and recent platforms of the Republican Party, as demonstrated by conduct.

We believe that a Republican Presidential candidate can be elected only if he carries the State of California. This can only be done with a strong precinct organization. In the past this has come through the dedicated hard work of the 100,000 members of the California Republican Volunteer Organizations, and their friends who follow them, working through the 58 Republican County Central Committees in California.

Under our By-laws the CRA endorsement of a candidate must come from our elected delegates at our Annual Convention on April 9, 1972. We must wait until then to endorse.

For the present, we want to set forth certain **criteria and conditions** that will enable us to conscientiously support and work hard for a Republican presidential candidate in 1972, whomever he may be.

Some of these conditions may be difficult to attain rapidly, but overt progress can be promptly made towards their achievement. Assertions of intent will help.

1. Take immediate steps to restore and maintain military superiority second to none as the only practical way to keep peace in the world today, as promised in the 1968 Nixon platform.

2. Implement the recommendations, and widely publicize, the report of the Blue Ribbon Defense Panel and its Supplemental Statement submitted to the President and the Secretary of Defense on The Shifting Balance of Military Power as published on September 30, 1970.

3. Inform Red China that the United States will always respect and live up to its treaty obligations including those with Free China, with South Korea, and with Japan. Also, inform Red China that we have no money to give to them or to loan to them, and that all foreign trade must be paid for by each of us in gold, C.O.D. We cannot make deals with fanatics involving reciprocal trust.

Note: In California, volunteer Republican organizations are extremely powerful and politically effective. They are the chief reason for the series of remarkable conservative victories in the last several years, including the election of Ronald Reagan. The above Policy Determination was passed by the California Republican Assembly on September 26, 1971.

The other powerful volunteer Republican organization, United Republicans of California (UROC), on August 15, 1971 passed a stronger Resolution called "New Republican Leadership A Necessity," which is similar to the Club Resolution printed on page 3.

4. Do not approve any governmental assistance to any communist country for industrialization.

5. Now that our nation has suspended convertability of the dollar into gold, restore the right of U.S. citizens to buy, own, and sell gold as recommended by a joint resolution co-authored by 34 out of 39 of our State Senators. As an aid to our national economic defense, stimulate a revival of the gold mining industry of the United States by means of incentives.

6. Urge that Free China remain as a member of the U.N. and that Japan and West Germany be made **permanent** members of the U.N. Security Council.

7. Re-affirm the principles of the Monroe Doctrine.

8. Demonstrate by conduct a clear memory for that portion of the 1968 Republican platform which says, "We emphasize trust and credibility, in a world where broken promises by certain nations have become a way of life. We submit that a nation progresses, not on promises broken, but on pledges kept."

9. Give matching funds to support the U.N. based upon the population of each country that is a member. If any other country fails to pay its share on time, then stop paying our share until each one has caught up all present and back payments. If this necessitates discharging U.S. employees who work for the U.N., discharge them.

10. Declare the Sunday nearest to the anniversary date of the Pearl Harbor attack as a National Memorial Day to be known as "Pearl Harbor Day" and when that day comes in early December of 1971, give a strong vigorous TV talk about the importance of national defense and the suicidal folly of military weakness.

11. Support a free economy, governed by the "law" of supply and demand.

12. End promptly all foreign aid giveaways, and all foreign aid loans through the Export-Import Bank or through the World Bank. Declare independence from these mistakes of past Administrations and terminate forever this mad boondoggle of foreign aid which has already squandered well over 100 billion dollars on a thoroughly ungrateful world.

California Republican Assembly, P. O. Box 11156, Oakland, Ca. 94611

The Phyllis Schlafly Report

Box 618, Alton, Illinois 62002

Published monthly by Phyllis Schlafly, Fairmount, Alton, Illinois 62002.

Second Class Postage Paid at Alton, Illinois.

Subscription Price: For donors to the Eagle Trust Fund — \$5 yearly (included in annual contribution). Extra copies available: 15c each; 8 copies \$1; 50 copies \$4, 100 copies \$8.